



The Role of Pragmatics in the Teaching and Learning of the English Language

Ukoha, O. Evelyn, Menakaya, C. Miracle & Ugbordiegwu, C. Valentine

Department of Arts Education

Faculty of Education

University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Corresponding author: evelyn.ukoha@unn.edu.ng

Abstract

Pragmatics refers to the field that studies pragmatic language. Pragmatic language is language that can only be understood in terms of aspects of the situation in which it is used. In linguistic discourse, pragmatics refers to the strategies by which language users relate the dictionary and grammar meaning of utterances to their communicative value in context. Pragmatics has an important role in the teaching and learning of the English language. It is necessary for English language users. Pragmatics is important in the teaching of the English language for effective communication. It is the way we convey meaning through communication. The meaning could be verbal or non-verbal. Pragmatics meaning varies with different context. English is an international language that brings people together all around the world. It is a unifying language for all English speakers with different cultural background. For this reason, speakers must understand pragmatic elements to avoid mistakes and misunderstanding during communication. Therefore, pragmatics competence should be a paramount focus for all those who teach English as a second language.

Keywords: English language, Pragmatics, Pragmatic competence, Teaching and Learning

Introduction

Pragmatics, as a subfield of linguistics, goes beyond the traditional examination of syntax and semantics, venturing into the intricacies of language use within specific social and cultural contexts (Levinson, 1983). It is concerned with the study of language in action, emphasizing the dynamic and interactive nature of communication. Pragmatics investigates how language users employ linguistic resources to convey meaning effectively, considering the influence of cultural norms and social expectations on communication (Bardovi-Harlig, 2013). Pragmatics is rooted in the idea that communication involves more than the mere exchange of words; it requires an understanding of the shared knowledge, beliefs, and social context that shape language use. Scholars in this field explore phenomena such as speech acts, implicature, deixis, and politeness strategies to unravel the layers of meaning embedded in everyday interactions (Levinson, 1983). This focus on the pragmatic aspects of language contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of communication beyond formal grammatical structures.

Understanding the nuances of pragmatics holds paramount importance for English language learners (ELLs) as they strive to develop pragmatic competence, a key aspect of communicative language proficiency (Bardovi-Harlig, 2013). Pragmatic competence, defined as the ability to use language appropriately in various social contexts, is recognized as a multifaceted skill that transcends mere grammatical accuracy and lexical knowledge (Rose, 2018). This skill involves the integration of linguistic knowledge with an acute awareness of social and cultural factors that shape communication. Pragmatic competence is integral to achieving effective communication in English, particularly for ELLs navigating diverse linguistic landscapes. In this context, the term "linguistic landscapes" refers to the complex and dynamic interplay of language in real-world settings. ELLs encounter a myriad of situations where cultural norms, social roles, and context significantly influence language use (Kasper & Rose, 2002). Bardovi-Harlig (2013) highlights that pragmatic competence involves not only the knowledge of linguistic forms but also an



understanding of the underlying cultural and social norms governing language use. This aligns with the broader perspective that language is a socially embedded phenomenon, and effective communication necessitates more than structural accuracy. The significance of pragmatics in English language teaching (ELT) is manifest in its capacity to augment learners' communicative competence, emphasizing the practical application of language skills in authentic contexts. Traditional language instruction often prioritizes linguistic forms, neglecting the crucial pragmatic dimension of language use. However, scholars argue that effective language teaching should encompass both linguistic form and pragmatic aspects to empower learners with the necessary tools for real-world communication (Bachman, 1990). Bachman advocates for a comprehensive view of language proficiency, where communicative competence involves not only grammatical and lexical knowledge but also pragmatic proficiency. Pragmatic competence is pivotal for learners to navigate diverse communicative situations, considering the role of social and cultural factors in language use. In the context of English language teaching, the integration of pragmatics represents a holistic approach to language education.

Pragmatics, in English language teaching, extends beyond the mere transmission of grammatical rules to encompass the social dynamics inherent in language use (Thomas, 1983). Thomas (1983) underscores the importance of recognizing that language is a social phenomenon, and language instruction should reflect this reality. By incorporating pragmatic elements into English language teaching, educators can equip learners not only with linguistic accuracy but also with the ability to comprehend and produce language that aligns with cultural norms and social expectations. Therefore, integrating pragmatics into English language teaching serves as a pedagogical approach that recognizes the intertwined nature of language and social interaction, contributing to the development of well-rounded and communicatively competent language learners. The teaching and learning of the English language demand a nuanced approach that extends beyond conventional methods, emphasizing the multifaceted nature of communication. Traditional language instruction often focuses on grammar and vocabulary, overlooking the critical role of pragmatic awareness in achieving true language proficiency (Bardovi-Harlig & Mahan-Taylor, 2003). To address this gap, language educators

are increasingly recognizing the importance of incorporating pragmatics into language curricula. Bardovi-Harlig and Mahan-Taylor (2003) assert that pragmatic awareness is an integral component of communicative competence, emphasizing the ability to use language appropriately in diverse social contexts. Recognizing the challenges learners face in navigating the intricacies of language use, educators are turning to pragmatics as a valuable tool to enhance language instruction. Integrating pragmatics into language curricula allows instructors to equip learners with the skills needed to comprehend and produce language that aligns with social and cultural norms. Taguchi (2015) emphasizes the transformative potential of incorporating pragmatics into language education.

Within the expansive domain of linguistics, pragmatics emerges as a pivotal subfield that assumes a critical role in the realm of language pedagogy. Its significance is underscored by its ability to unravel the intricate layers of contextual and sociocultural dimensions within communication. In the educational context, pragmatics becomes a linchpin for language instructors as it adeptly navigates the terrain between linguistic structure and meaningful, effective communication (Levinson, 1983). Delving into the relevance of pragmatics in language pedagogy necessitates a profound acknowledgment of its impact on shaping the communicative competence of learners. This competence, as delineated by Canale and Swain (1980), extends beyond mere grammatical proficiency, encapsulating grammatical, sociolinguistic, discourse, and strategic competences. At its core, pragmatic competence, intricately woven into sociolinguistic proficiency, encompasses the nuanced ability to wield language aptly across diverse social contexts (Bardovi-Harlig, 2013).

The infusion of pragmatics into language teaching operates as a catalyst, propelling learners towards heightened communicative competence by endowing them with the requisite tools to adeptly traverse real-world communication scenarios. This transcends the confines of linguistic accuracy, integrating pragmatic awareness into the fabric of language learning experiences (Rose, 2018). Rose posits that pragmatic competence stands as a cornerstone for effective communication, ensuring that learners not only comprehend the structural facets of language but also internalize the dynamics of language use within varied social settings. Kasper and Rose (2002) underscore the salience of pragmatics in language teaching by shedding light on the



multifarious situations learners encounter where cultural norms, social roles, and contextual factors significantly shape language utilization. Through the strategic integration of pragmatic elements into teaching methodologies, language instructors can empower learners, equipping them with the skills to adeptly navigate the intricate linguistic landscapes they encounter.

The integration of pragmatics into language teaching emerges as a pivotal and indispensable component for amplifying learners' communicative competence. A holistic acknowledgment of the relevance of pragmatics in language pedagogy ensures that language instruction transcends the confines of grammatical rules, embracing the inherent social dynamics of language use. This, in turn, prepares learners for effective communication in the diverse and dynamic tapestry of real-world contexts.

Real-life Applications of Pragmatics in Teaching and Learning English Language

Pragmatics, a vital facet of linguistics, plays a pivotal role in the teaching and learning of English, extending its influence to real-life applications within educational contexts. One concrete application is observed in the development of pragmatic competence among language learners. Understanding and applying politeness strategies, a key aspect of pragmatics, are crucial in language classrooms. Educators can incorporate scenarios that mirror real-life situations, encouraging students to use polite language appropriately, fostering positive communication habits that extend beyond the classroom (Brown & Levinson, 1987). Activities that simulate real-life language use, such as role-playing, debates, and discussions, provide learners with opportunities to apply pragmatic principles. For instance, engaging students in simulated job interviews or customer service scenarios not only enhances their language skills but also equips them with pragmatic competence necessary for professional communication (Kasper & Rose, 2002).

Misunderstandings stemming from a lack of pragmatic awareness are particularly significant in language learning. In a multicultural and diverse classroom setting, students may inadvertently misinterpret language use due to varying cultural norms. Incorporating cross-cultural pragmatic exercises can help learners navigate these differences and cultivate sensitivity to diverse communication styles, preparing them for interactions in an English-speaking environment (Gumperz, 1982). In the realm

of humor, a nuanced understanding of pragmatics is essential for language learners. Humorous language use often relies on cultural references, wordplay, and contextual cues. Educators can utilize humor as a pedagogical tool, encouraging students to analyze jokes, idioms, and sarcasm to enhance their pragmatic awareness and appreciate the subtleties of English language use (Grice, 1975).

The real-life applications of pragmatics in the teaching and learning of English are evident in activities that mirror authentic communication scenarios. From cultivating polite language use to addressing cross-cultural communication challenges and navigating humor, pragmatic competence is a valuable skill for language learners, providing them with the tools to communicate effectively in diverse and real-world English language settings.

Importance of Training Language Teachers in Pragmatics

Pragmatics, as a subfield of linguistics, focuses on language use in context, acknowledging the social and cultural dimensions of communication (Levinson, 1983). For English language teachers, understanding pragmatics is essential because it goes beyond structural aspects of language, providing insights into how language functions in real-life situations. Effective communication involves more than grammatical correctness; it requires an awareness of social norms, cultural variations, and context-specific language use. Therefore, training language teachers in pragmatics equips them to guide students in navigating the complexities of language in diverse social settings.

To enhance teachers' understanding of pragmatics, various professional development opportunities are available. Workshops and seminars focusing on pragmatic aspects of language can provide teachers with theoretical knowledge and practical strategies for incorporating pragmatics into their teaching methods. Additionally, collaborative learning communities and peer mentoring can facilitate the exchange of ideas and experiences related to teaching pragmatics, creating a supportive environment for professional growth. Online courses and resources, such as those provided by linguistic associations and educational platforms, offer accessible and flexible avenues for teachers to deepen their knowledge of pragmatics.



Common Challenges in Teaching Pragmatics in relative to English Language Teaching and Learning

Teaching pragmatics in the context of English language education presents various challenges that educators must navigate. These are the common challenges faced by teachers when incorporating pragmatics into language instruction:

Variability in Pragmatic Norms: One significant challenge in teaching pragmatics is the variability in pragmatic norms across different cultures and speech communities (Bardovi-Harlig, 2013). English language classrooms often include students from diverse linguistic backgrounds, each bringing their own set of cultural expectations regarding language use. Negotiating these variations can be challenging for teachers, as they strive to create a curriculum that addresses the broad spectrum of pragmatic norms.

Lack of Explicit Instructional Materials: Another challenge lies in the scarcity of explicit instructional materials dedicated to pragmatics within standard language textbooks (Taguchi, 2015). Unlike grammar or vocabulary, which often have structured lessons and exercises, pragmatics may be treated more implicitly, making it difficult for teachers to find appropriate materials. This scarcity necessitates additional effort on the part of educators to develop or curate resources that explicitly address pragmatic aspects of language.

Complexity of Pragmatic Competence: Teaching pragmatics is further complicated by the intricate nature of pragmatic competence. Pragmatic competence involves not only linguistic knowledge but also an understanding of social context and appropriateness (Rose, 2018). Balancing these elements in the classroom can be challenging, as educators must help students grasp the nuanced interplay between language and social dynamics.

Limited Teacher Training in Pragmatics: A challenge that contributes to the effective teaching of pragmatics is the limited exposure and training teachers receive in this area (Thomas, 1983). Many language educators may not have undergone specialized training in pragmatics during their professional development. Consequently, addressing pragmatic aspects in the classroom may be outside their comfort zone, highlighting the need for comprehensive teacher training programs.

Solutions to the Challenges Associated with Teaching Pragmatics in the English Language Classroom

Overcoming the challenges associated with teaching pragmatics in the English language classroom requires a thoughtful and strategic approach. The following are the potential solutions and strategies to address the identified challenges, offering insights for language educators seeking to enhance their teaching effectiveness in this domain.

Cultural Sensitivity Training: To navigate the variability in pragmatic norms across diverse cultures, language educators can undergo cultural sensitivity training (Bardovi-Harlig, 2013). Such training equips teachers with the awareness and skills needed to understand and respect the cultural backgrounds of their students. Integrating cultural sensitivity into the curriculum fosters an inclusive learning environment where students' diverse perspectives are acknowledged and valued.

Development of Comprehensive Instructional Materials: Addressing the lack of explicit instructional materials for pragmatics involves the collaborative effort of educators and curriculum developers. Teachers can contribute by sharing and developing resources that explicitly address pragmatic aspects within the context of language learning (Taguchi, 2015). Additionally, educational institutions and publishers should recognize the importance of pragmatics and work towards integrating it into standard language textbooks.

Integrated Curriculum Design: To manage the complexity of pragmatic competence, teachers should adopt an integrated curriculum design that incorporates pragmatic elements seamlessly into language instruction (Rose, 2018). This involves aligning lesson plans with real-world communicative contexts and emphasizing the practical application of language skills. By integrating pragmatics into various language activities, students can develop a holistic understanding of linguistic and social dynamics.

Teacher Training Programs in Pragmatics: A critical solution to limited teacher training in pragmatics is the development of specialized programs that focus on enhancing educators' proficiency in this area (Thomas, 1983). These programs can be offered through professional development workshops, online courses, or collaborations with experts in pragmatics. By investing in teacher training, educational institutions can empower instructors to confidently



incorporate pragmatic elements into their teaching methodologies.

Conclusion

In conclusion, a nuanced approach to teaching and learning the English language acknowledges the pivotal role of pragmatics in fostering true language proficiency. By integrating pragmatic elements into language curricula, educators can bridge the gap between linguistic theory and real-world language use, providing learners with the tools needed for effective and culturally sensitive communication. By investigating the connections between pragmatics, pragmatic competence, and effective language instruction, the study aims to provide insights that can inform pedagogical practices and contribute to the development of communicatively competent English language learners. This research underscores the indispensable role of pragmatics in the teaching and learning of the English language. The investigation into the nuances of pragmatics reveals its paramount importance for English language learners (ELLs) striving to develop pragmatic competence. This competence, integral to communicative language proficiency, becomes particularly crucial for ELLs navigating the complex linguistic landscapes shaped by cultural norms and social expectations.

The study recognizes the transformative potential of incorporating pragmatics into English language teaching (ELT) to augment learners' communicative competence. Traditional language instruction often prioritizes linguistic forms, overlooking the crucial pragmatic dimension of language use. However, the integration of pragmatics into language curricula represents a holistic approach to language education, bridging the gap between linguistic theory and real-world language use.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and implications of this study on the role of pragmatics in the teaching and learning of the English language, the following recommendations are proffered:

1. Educational institutions and language educators should prioritize the integration of pragmatic elements into language curricula. This integration should go beyond conventional linguistic analyses and encompass real-life applications, considering scenarios where pragmatic

competence is crucial for effective communication.

2. Professional development opportunities and specialized training programs in pragmatics should be established to enhance educators' proficiency in this area.
3. Institutions should also foster collaborative learning communities, both online and offline, where educators can share experiences, resources, and effective strategies related to teaching pragmatics.

References

- Bachman, L. F. (1990). *Fundamental Considerations in Language Testing*. Oxford University Press.
- Bardovi-Harlig, K. (2013). *Developing L2 Pragmatic Competence: Insights from the Interface of Research and Pedagogy*. *Language Learning*, 63(s1), 68-86.
- Bardovi-Harlig, K. (2013). *Exploring the interlanguage of interlanguage pragmatics: A research agenda for acquisitional pragmatics*. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 35(2), 167-193.
- Bardovi-Harlig, K., & Mahan-Taylor, R. (2003). *Teaching pragmatics*. Washington, DC: United States Department of State, Office of English Language Programs.
- Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage*. Cambridge University Press.
- Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). *Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing*. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1-47.
- Grice, H. P. (1975). "Logic and Conversation." In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and Semantics 3: Speech Acts* (pp. 41-58). Academic Press.
- Gumperz, J. J. (1982). *Discourse Strategies*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kasper, G., & Rose, K. R. (2002). *Pragmatic development in a second language*. *Language Learning*, 52(Suppl 1), 1-62.
- Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.



- Rose, K. R. (2018). *Pragmatic competence*. In *The Routledge Handbook of Pragmatics* (pp. 127-141). Routledge.
- Rose, K. R. (2018). *The Routledge handbook of sociocultural theory and second language development*. Routledge.
- Taguchi, N. (2015). *Pragmatic competence and adult L2 acquisition: Speech act performance of learners of Japanese as a second language*. De Gruyter Mouton.
- Thomas, J. (1983). *Cross-cultural pragmatic failure*. *Applied Linguistics*, 4(2), 91-112.